Abstract

This brochure highlights 24 strategies to address the 16 common constraints to transportation project development. Ten states and 12 transportation agencies have used the approach. Key resources include Expediting Project Delivery Self Assessment Workbook and the Expediting Project Delivery website.

Expediting Project Delivery (C19) offers 24 proven and effective strategies that focus specifically on the planning, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and permitting phases of project development and delivery. Developed through the second Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP2), the recommended strategies address 16 common constraints that transportation agencies encounter when trying to meet project schedules, reduce risk, and build strong collaborative processes.

These strategies save time, reduce the need for rework, reduce the risk of unanticipated permitting and mitigation costs, and provide a framework for resolving disputes.

Agencies may choose to adopt the entire suite or individual strategies based on an assessment of their needs. The strategies are organized into six objectives:

1. Streamline decision making
2. Improve resource agency involvement and collaboration
3. Improve public involvement and support
4. Demonstrate real commitment to the project
5. Improve internal communication and coordination
6. Integrate across all phases of project delivery

Common Constraints to Transportation Project Delivery

CONSTRAINT 1: Avoiding policy decisions through continual analysis
CONSTRAINT 2: Conflicting resource values
CONSTRAINT 3: Difficulty agreeing on impacts and mitigation
CONSTRAINT 4: Inability to maintain agreement
CONSTRAINT 5: Ineffective internal communication
CONSTRAINT 6: Inefficient Section 106 consultation with State Historic Preservation Officer
CONSTRAINT 7: Inordinate focus on single issue
CONSTRAINT 8: Insufficient public engagement or support
CONSTRAINT 9: Issues arising late cause project change
CONSTRAINT 10: Lack of dedicated staff
CONSTRAINT 11: Lengthy review and revision cycles
CONSTRAINT 12: Negative or critical coverage from the media
CONSTRAINT 13: Relocation process delays construction
CONSTRAINT 14: Slow decision making
CONSTRAINT 15: Stakeholder controversy and opposition
CONSTRAINT 16: Unusually large scale of and/or complex project or program
Strategies for Addressing Constraints and Expediting Project Delivery

**Expediting Project Delivery Strategies**

**Deliver Results**

The strategies achieve results by improving internal and external coordination through the project development and environmental review processes.

Key benefits to transportation agencies:

- Leads to fewer delays and more predictable project delivery timeframes
- Saves staff time and money through more effective practices
- Improves public trust through on-time and on-budget project delivery

**How do you know which strategies to apply?**

- Develop an Action Plan for your agency by utilizing the *Expediting Project Delivery Self-Assessment Workbook* (see last page for reference).
- Conduct an assessment of your delivery program to identify routine delivery challenges (constraints).
- Evaluate the relationship of the constraints. Are they specific to a step in project development or an activity?
- Based on the constraint type, determine the associated Expediting Project Delivery strategies by using the adjacent table.
- Consider selecting the strategies that address your immediate constraint, but also benefit other aspects of your program.

**Practical Tips for Applying These Strategies**

- Develop multidisciplinary teams to break up the sequential “silo” approach.
- Cross-train key staffers in planning and environmental areas.
- Consider using collaboration platforms and software that allow for electronic document sharing.
- Create a clear and consistent public engagement and outreach plan; use project-dedicated and seasoned communications staff to interact with the media.

---

**STRATEGY 1:** Change-control practices

**STRATEGY 2:** Consolidated decision council

**STRATEGY 3:** Context-sensitive design and solutions

**STRATEGY 4:** Coordinated and responsive agency involvement

**STRATEGY 5:** Dispute-resolution process

**STRATEGY 6:** DOT-funded resource agency liaisons

**STRATEGY 7:** Early commitment of construction funding

**STRATEGY 8:** Expedited internal review and decision making

**STRATEGY 9:** Facilitation to align expectations up front

**STRATEGY 10:** Highly responsive public engagement

**STRATEGY 11:** Incentive payments to expedite relocations

**STRATEGY 12:** Media relations manager

**STRATEGY 13:** Performance standards

**STRATEGY 14:** Planning and environmental linkages

**STRATEGY 15:** Planning-level environmental screening criteria

**STRATEGY 16:** Programmatic agreement for Section 106

**STRATEGY 17:** Programmatic or batched permitting

**STRATEGY 18:** Real-time collaborative interagency reviews

**STRATEGY 19:** Regional environmental analysis framework

**STRATEGY 20:** Risk management

**STRATEGY 21:** Strategic oversight and readiness assessment

**STRATEGY 22:** Team co-location

**STRATEGY 23:** Tiered NEPA process

**STRATEGY 24:** Up-front environmental commitments
The Hallmarks of Expediting Project Delivery

- Early is always better.
- Communication among all parties is paramount.
- Clear decision paths and commitments lead to less risk and smoother resolutions.
- Adopting these strategies results in better projects, completed more quickly and efficiently, and with recognized benefits and outcomes.

Constraints and Recommended Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Change-control practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consolidated decision council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Context-sensitive design and solutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Coordinated and responsive agency involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dispute-resolution process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. DOT-funded resource agency liaisons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Early commitment of construction funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Expedited internal review and decision making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Facilitation to align expectations up front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Highly responsive public engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Incentive payments to expedite relocations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Media relations manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Performance standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Planning and environmental linkages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Planning-level environmental screening criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Programmatic agreement for Section 106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Programmatic or batched permitting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Real-time collaborative interagency reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Regional environmental analysis framework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Risk management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Strategic oversight and readiness assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Team co-location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Tiered NEPA process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Up-front environmental commitments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategies for Expediting Project Delivery

STRATEGY 1
Change-Control Practices
By establishing change-control practices, agencies can minimize the frequency and severity of changes to project design following preliminary design and environmental documentation.

STRATEGY 2
Consolidated Decision Council
A consolidated decision council can create a clear organization, structure, and process for efficient decision making. Councils identify the ability and scope of decision making explicitly and allow for issues or questions to be on the agenda, considered, and decided on effectively and efficiently.

STRATEGY 3
Context-Sensitive Design and Solutions
Using a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach, stakeholders can design a transportation facility that fits its physical setting and preserves local resources while maintaining safety and mobility. Resource agencies, the public, and stakeholder organizations are engaged in the planning and design of the project.

To improve communications within its complex Local Public Agency Program, the Arizona Department of Transportation used a SHRP2 C19 award to create a Quick Reference Guide for Local Public Agency projects. The guide emphasizes that all project team members have a sound understanding of the “basics” and that continuous communication happen throughout project development, resulting in a more efficient environmental review and expedited project delivery.

STRATEGY 4
Coordinated and Responsive Agency Involvement
Establishing clear and direct communications with resource agencies early in the development of a project promotes a culture of collaboration and understanding which leads to more effective interagency working relationships.

Aligning resource priorities with transportation projects early in the planning phase reduces costly delays experienced during project delivery. Early engagement between the California Department of Transportation and resource and regulatory agencies helps identify opportunities for incorporating environmental enhancements and species recovery strategies into future transportation projects, thus meeting both transportation and ecological objectives through a more integrated process. This expedites project delivery by reducing delays that affect project cost, scope, and schedule, while improving relationships with resource and regulatory agencies.

STRATEGY 5
Dispute-Resolution Process
By creating a clear dispute-resolution process agreed to by all parties, agencies can avoid an impasse that can halt or delay a process and identify the path to a workable solution by elevating and resolving any impasse at the staff level.

STRATEGY 6
Department of Transportation-Funded Resource Agency Liaisons
By funding positions to perform environmental analysis at resource agencies and nongovernmental organizations, a state DOT can expedite project reviews.
**STRATEGY 7**
**Early Commitment of Construction Funding**
Securing a commitment of construction funding early in the planning or NEPA phase of project development helps gain the attention, will, and other commitments necessary to expedite decisions and delivery.

**STRATEGY 8**
**Expedited Internal Review and Decision Making**
By implementing a process for timely internal reviews and getting formal commitments from each agency, office, section, or bureau to make decisions efficiently and quickly will help to establish this strategy as an accepted routine.

**STRATEGY 9**
**Facilitation to Align Expectations Up Front**
On projects with diverse and multiple agencies and major stakeholder groups, early facilitated discussions in planning or scoping can identify specific agency or stakeholder interests and align all parties’ expectations at the beginning of the project.

**STRATEGY 10**
**Highly Responsive Public Engagement**
Identifying specific and direct ways in which the public can contribute to decisions on public projects helps builds support, reduces potential opposition and delays, and enables stakeholders to more easily see the role they play in the outcome.

**STRATEGY 11**
**Incentive Payments to Expedite Relocations**
Awarding payments beyond the traditional relocation costs to tenants, property owners, and business owners to relocate within certain timeframes can expedite the relocation process, reducing potential delays to project construction.
Strategies for Expediting Project Delivery

**STRATEGY 15**

Planning-Level Environmental Screening Criteria

Early consultation on resources and mitigation provides an opportunity to identify criteria and develop tools and understandings for project delivery. By developing statewide and/or regional data, transportation agencies can quickly evaluate and compare proposed projects and programs, identify potential areas of environmental concern, and make better-informed decisions about how to develop future projects.

**STRATEGY 16**

Programmatic Agreement for Section 106

Using a programmatic agreement, federal lead agencies, the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation can delegate some authority to a state DOT to conduct Section 106 reviews on behalf of FHWA, while identifying certain classes of projects or activities that will not need to undergo the traditional individual consultation process with SHPO.

**STRATEGY 17**

Programmatic or Batched Permitting

A single permit that covers multiple or separate actions can substantially expedite permitting and, therefore, project delivery. There are two basic approaches — batched or programmatic. A batched permit or approval covers specific actions identified in advance of the permit. A programmatic permit covers a collection of future actions that may or may not be identified in advance of the permit.

---

MaPIT software gives the Massachusetts Department of Transportation and other state/municipal proponents the ability to plan and initiate projects using the latest GIS technology.
STRATEGY 18
Real-Time Collaborative Interagency Reviews
Conducting collaborative and concurrent reviews of environmental documentation, possibly in sections, state DOTs, the FHWA, and resource agencies can reduce the time needed to conduct these reviews and the overall schedule for completing the environmental document.

STRATEGY 19
Regional Environmental Analysis Framework
By establishing a standardized approach for evaluating impacts to resources types, impact analyses and mitigation agreements can be streamlined. And, a uniform approach for evaluating cumulative effects can be established.

STRATEGY 20
Risk Management
Risk management is the practice of actively dealing with project risk, including planning for risk, assessing risk, developing risk-response strategies, and monitoring risk throughout the project life cycle. It is more effective when started near the beginning of any process.

STRATEGY 21
Strategic Oversight and Readiness Assessment
For multi-agency projects, creating a protocol for communication, specifying roles and responsibilities, and setting a review timeline early in the project helps the agencies plan their staffing and funding commitments. This preparation allows staff to accept project assignments when their participation is needed.

STRATEGY 22
Team Co-Location
Co-locating project teams allows for faster communication, reviews, and decision making while offering opportunities for spontaneous working sessions or meetings that can expedite a project.

STRATEGY 23
Tiered NEPA Process
Using this strategy, agencies can perform planning studies under NEPA via a Tier 1 Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement. The first-tier study looks at a large problem programmatically, with project-level studies and analysis in the second tier.

The “Sand Hill Bridge” over the Middlebury River was one of five bridge projects the Vermont Department of Transportation (VTrans) used a SHRP2 C19 research award to focus on improving internal communication and coordination and improving public involvement and support. All five bridge replacement projects required significant coordination of the bridge closure periods and alternating detour routes, and were replaced in record time using accelerated bridge construction methods and short-term road closures. The “Fast Five” showcased the opportunities for expediting project delivery.

STRATEGY 24
Up-Front Environmental Commitments
When a DOT makes a commitment to invest in environmental avoidance, minimization and/or mitigation earlier in the planning process, permits and agreements can be approved without a lengthy process of analysis and debate.
Who’s using these strategies?

Through the FHWA/AASHTO Implementation Assistance Program, 12 transportation agencies in 10 states are implementing *Expediting Project Delivery* strategies.

**Lead Adopter Incentive**

Technical and/or financial support is made available for early adopters to offset implementation costs and mitigate risks.

**User Incentive**

Technical and financial assistance used to conduct internal assessments, build capacity, implement system process changes, organize peer exchanges, and offset other implementation costs.

**Agencies Expediting Project Delivery**

1. ADOT created a Quick Reference Guide for Local Public Agencies to improve project delivery.
2. ArDOT developed a project initiation form to help with risk management.
3. AMBAG created Transfi, a group dedicated to sharing transportation finance and grant opportunities.
4. Caltrans created an Action Plan that focused on recommendations for highway stream crossing structures.
5. FDOT District 4 developed process refinements and implemented streamlining measures in the Project Development & Environment process.
6. ITD is purchasing software to complete the automation of the Highway Safety Corridor Analysis.
7. MAG worked with regional partners to share geospatial data in a Story Map for the region.
8. MassDOT developed a web-based application that modernizes the early stages of the MassDOT project development process, called the MassDOT Project Intake Tool (MaPIT).
9. NDOT coordinated with resource and regulatory agencies to discuss programmatic 404 permitting, which resulted in process improvements to the project delivery process.
10. SCDOT developed a proposed Process Map for the Project Management unit to improve workload to expedite project delivery.
11. SDDOT identified actions to improve public engagement and increase schedule accountability and consider internal resource allocation through an Assessment Workshop.
12. VTrans developed process improvements to make the Accelerated Bridge Program (ABP) function better.

**Resources**

For more information online, go to FHWA’s SHRP2 C19 web page at [https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/strmlng/shrp2-c19/default.asp](https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/strmlng/shrp2-c19/default.asp).

To determine which strategies would benefit your program, review the *Expediting Project Delivery Self-Assessment Workbook* (available online at [https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/strmlng/shrp2-c19/docs/Expediting_Project_Delivery_Self_Assessment_Workbook_051917.pdf](https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/strmlng/shrp2-c19/docs/Expediting_Project_Delivery_Self_Assessment_Workbook_051917.pdf)) to learn how to conduct your own assessment.

**Contacts**

Kate Kurgan, AASHTO  (202) 624-3635  
kkurgan@aashto.org

David Williams, FHWA  (202) 366-4074  
david.williams@dot.gov