



SHRP2 R07 Targeted Assistance Program

Furthering the Use of Performance Specifications

Brad Neitzke

WFLHD Materials Engineer and FLH Materials Discipline Champion

September 20, 2016



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS







Project Partnership within FHWA

Brad Neitzke, Federal Lands Highway **Richard Duval,** FHWA Turner Fairbank Highway Research Center



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS





1960's Federal Specifications

- Broadband gradation requirements
- Asphalt content by weight during mixing
- Asphalt cement by certification
- Methods of manufacture





- 1970's Federal Specifications
 - Gradation target values and tolerances
 - Asphalt content target and tolerance
 - Density
 - Limited asphalt cement testing (primarily certification)





1980's Federal Specifications

- Gradation with target value and tolerance
- Asphalt content with target value and tolerance
- Density
- Thickness
- Asphalt cement testing limited certification
- Statistical acceptance
- Pay lots and pay factors

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND BRIDGES ON FEDERAL HIGHWAY PROJECTS FP-85 1985 US Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

1990's Federal Specifications

- Gradation with target value and tolerance
- Asphalt content with target value and tolerance
- Density
- Asphalt binder testing (Performance Grades)
- Smoothness measurement with pay adjustments (*Profilograph*)
- Contractor testing with agency verification
- Statistical acceptance
- Pay lots and pay factors





2000's Federal Specifications

- Asphalt mixture volumetrics (VMA, Air Voids, VFA)
- Asphalt content with target value and tolerance
- Minimum VMA
- Density
- Asphalt binder testing (Performance Grades)
- Smoothness measurement with pay adjustments (Inertial Profilers)
- Contractor testing with agency verification
- Statistical acceptance
- Pay lots and pay factors



Additional Mixture Tests

- Immersion Compression
- Tensile Strength Ratio
- Hamburg Wheel Track Testing
- Asphalt Pavement Analyzer
- TSRST





Performance Specifications

Can we...

- Optimize and Improve Performance?
- Determine how volumetrics relate to performance and pavement life?
- Develop quality adjusted pay factors that reflect "as-constructed" pavement life?

These are the objectives for this project



Project Outline – Part 1

Perform desktop study

- Past projects
- Collect test results from construction
- Mix design information
- Obtain field cores of existing pavement
- Advanced laboratory testing
- Traffic data
- Compare predicted life vs. "as-constructed"
- Compare against pay factors for completed work

Past Projects

Yellowstone National Park

East Entrance Road

- First WMA project constructed in 2007
- Extensive testing (FHWA mobile lab)



East Entrance Road

Yellowstone National Park



Typical Data – Pay Factors

Quality Levels and Pay Factors								
Quality Charac- teristic	Actual Target Value		Mean	Standard Deviation	PWL	Pay Factor		
12.5mm	85.00	+,- 5	87.18	2.738	85	0.96		
9.5mm	71.00	+,- 6	70.13	3.365	92	1.00		
4.75mm	46.00	+,- 7	43.97	2.985	95	1.03		
2.36mm	30.00	+,- 5	28.06	1.903	95	1.03		
425µm	12.00	+,- 3	11.15	1.092	98	1.00		
75µm	6.00	+,- 2	5.15	0.557	98	1.04		
AC-m	5.30	+,5	5.44	0.329	84	0.96		
SE	45.00	min	65.37	3.137	100	1.00		
% FRAC	90.00	min	99.80	0.267	100	1.05		
% DEN	90.00	min	93.42	1.207	100	1.05		

TESTING COMPLETED FINAL PAY FACTOR: 0.96

Verification Data





Grand Teton National Park

- Eastside Highway
 - Outside Highway Paving



Performance Testing

- Obtain cores from existing pavement
- Advanced laboratory testing fundamental AMPT
- In-service traffic data (vehicle counts / traffic mix)
- PMS / RIP data
- Performance relationships



Key Information for Desktop Study

- Good traffic data
- Good "as-constructed" project data
- Obtain cores for AMPT testing
 - Dynamic Modulus
 - Cyclic fatigue
 - Triaxial stress sweep



Project Outline – Part 2

Shadow Project

- Skyliners Road near Bend, OR (completed 2016)



- Norris to Golden Gate, Yellowstone Nat'l Park (currently under construction)

Shadow Project Data

Additional sampling of current project materials

- Performance testing
- Use of calibrated performance models
- Predicted pavement life vs. volumetric properties
- "As constructed" pavement life vs. pay factors
- AMPT and LVECD analysis

Shadow Project Data

Asphalt concrete mix design information

- Contractor mix design
- Agency verification
- TFHRC confirmation and comparison



Acceptance Quality Characteristics (AQCs)

- Asphalt content
- VMA
- Density
- Asphalt binder
- Roughness (IRI Evaluation)







QUALITY LEVEL ANALYSIS & PAY FACTOR COMPUTATIONS

Project Name: Skyliners Road Improvements Project Number: OR PFH 247(1) Project ID: DTFH7015C00002 Item Number: 40101-5600 Lot Number: 3 Lab: Contractor Lab

Quality Levels and Pay Factors

Pay Factor	PWL	Standard Deviation	Mean		Actual Target Value	Quality Charac- teristic
1.04	99	0.159	5.63	+,- 0.40	5.60	AC-m
1.05	100	0.408	16.01	min	14.00	VMA
1.04	99	0.877	92.90	min	91.00	% DEN
		0.696	4.34		info	VOIDS
		3.809	72.97		info	VFA
		0.008	2.562		info	Rice-SG
		0.000	100.00		info	3/4"
		1.257	94.00		info	1/2"
		1.899	86.35		info	3/8"
		2.911	63.45		info	#4
		3.407	39.65		info	#8
		1.056	17.80		info	#30
		0.912	12.90		info	#50
		0.766	7.42		info	#200

Binder Pay Factors									
Sample	DSRorg	DSRres	DSRpav	BBR s	BBR m	DTstm	Pay Factor		
1	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		1.01		
2	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		1.01		
3	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		1.01		
4	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		1.01		
5	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.00	1.00		1.00		
6	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.00	1.01		1.00		
7	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.00	1.01		1.00		









- Predicted pavement performance vs.
 Volumetrics
- Determine as-constructed pavement life compared against PWL pay factors
- Development of draft performance specification
- Superpave Volumetrics relation to performance testing



Questions?

Brad Neitzke WFLHD Materials Engineer brad.neitzke@dot.gov 360.619.7725