





March 2016



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

Imag

nitor







- Railroad-DOT Mitigation Strategies
 - Key Issues
 - Product description –
 How It Works



- Examples of Railroad-DOT Innovations
- Benefits
- States currently implementing Railroad-DOT Strategies
- Why bother trying?
- Available technical assistance
- Resources

Railroad-DOT Mitigation Strategies – Key Issues

Challenge

Railroad-DOT interaction requires a thorough review of the safety, engineering, and the operational impacts of a roadway project during construction – since it will have lasting effect on the railroad for decades thereafter. Rapid highway construction goals require a new approach that eases the project agreement process for both industries.

Solution

Recommended practices, model agreements, and training materials to help resolve potential conflicts.



Uses a collaborative approach to address challenges associated with expediting highway and railway projects.

- Identify the challenges.
 - Develop solutions to address them (Product innovations).

2

• Develop effective strategies for dissemination and nationwide adoption.



- Develop tools to train and institutionalize these innovative strategies.
- Provide additional resources and opportunities for continued collaboration.

Railroad-DOT Objectives

Phase 1

- Identify strategies and institutional arrangements.
- Research and develop innovative partnering techniques.
- Identify barriers that impact effectiveness and propose remedies.
- Develop draft model agreements and streamlined processes.

Phases 2 and 3

- Conduct outreach and buy-in.
- Create a community of interest.
- Build an environment of partnering.
- Engage the community to facilitate widespread adoption.
- Create champion pairs (DOT-RR).
- Develop tools to institutionalize the use of the R16 products.
- Provide access to resources.

Collaboration and participation by both parties.

Research-Based Approach

- Volpe report
 - Coordination with railroads to facilitate acquisition of Right of Way (ROW)
- Key issues:
 - Indemnification
 - Standard agreements
 - Plan reviews
 - Environmental risk and fees
- Solution



Source: Stan Lims, The Press-Enterprise

Available Materials

 Best practices and streamlined processes

Facilitates beneficial relationships between railroads and public transportation agencies

- Institutional arrangements
- Innovative partnering techniques
- Approaches to ensure collaboration
- Standardized (Master) agreements

7





Examples of Railroad-DOT Innovations

Processes

- Streamlined internal and external coordination
- Single point of first contact and coordination
- Dedicated railroad project managers
- Formal agreed upon points of concurrence
- Formal project management
- Meetings
 - Annual
 - Pre-construction
 - Post-construction
- The 3Cs–frequent communication



More Examples

Processes (cont.)

- Process manual
- Standardized crossing improvement contract process
- Streamlining flagging process
- Expediting right-of-way access
- Streamlined agreement processing
- Escalation process to expedite issues
- Partnering processes
- Electronic workflow agreement process

Agreements

- Standardized (Master) agreements
- Partnering Memorandum of Understanding



Benefits of Using Railroad-DOT Mitigation Strategies

- Expedited project delivery
- Better management of limited resources
- Improve communication, cooperation, collaboration
- Streamlined processes
- Transparency
- Improved quality
- Win-win solution
- Less risk!





Accelerating Adoption Solutions and Support Tools

Michael Loehr, PE CH2M

Why Bother Trying?

- Federal focus and funding under the FAST Act for freight rail projects.
- The status quo in some areas is undesirable and expensive in both time and dollars.
- Some DOTs have seen the benefits from improved relationships with the freight railroads.
- Railroads are working more collaboratively than in the past.

Some Examples of Successes

- Flagging Agreement (saving \$200,000 annually)
- Master Developmental Agreement (reduced time to half)
- Master Agreement CSX and Kentucky
- NCDOT more than \$500 million in projects between:
 - Master Right of Entry Agreement
 - Master Traffic Control Devices Agreement
 - Individual Agreement for Closures CSX and NS
 - Master Preliminary Engineering Agreement
 - Master Construction & Maintenance Agreement

- C3
- **Risk Mitigation**: proactive escalation (BNSF and WSDOT).
- Landslide Mitigation Group: full partnership with local agencies and BNSF.
- Master Right of Entry (ten days versus months).
- **Partnering Memorandum of Understanding** (agreed-upon list of streamlined processes).
- Grade crossing best practices (extends life 3 to 13 years).
- Routine project improvements (75 fewer agreements needed to implement 'standard' projects).

Standard Resources (Products)

- Best Practices
 - Improved project consistency
 - Reduced paperwork
- Model Agreements
 - Key Terms
 - Streamlined processes
- Training Syllabus
 - Improved understanding

Technical Assistance



- What issues can be addressed?
 - Section 130 Grade Crossing Improvements
 - Coordination on DOT bridges over Railroads
 - Coordination on RR Bridges over Highways
 - Capital improvements for Freight or Passenger Service
 - Better Master Agreements
 - Understanding why the railroad(s) are so darned
 to work with

- Or something else entirely....

Technical Assistance



- Facilitated Workshops
- Peer Interviews
- Contract negotiations support
- Technical support
- Training

Implementation Activities

- Facilitated Workshops Arkansas, PennDOT and SDDOT
- Peer Interviews PennDOT
- Technical support Scope Development for PennDOT
- Financial support Colorado DOT, developing agreements with UPRR and BNSF and an overall project workflow process

For More Information

Joseph Taylor

FHWA R16 Product Lead (410) 779-7146 Joseph.Taylor@dot.gov

Keith Platte

(202) 624-3697 AASHTO R16 Lead Kplatte@aashto.org



www.fhwa.dot.gov/GoSHRP2

http://shrp2.transportation.org

