



Accelerated Bridge Construction

2015 SHRP2 Peer to Peer Exchange
September 16, 2015, Minneapolis, Minnesota

Gary Sweeny, PE, Structures Project Engineer
Cory Burrall, PE, Structures Project Engineer





Conventional Bridge Construction

Precast Arches and Culvert Liners





Accelerated Construction

How Did We Get Started with Accelerated Bridge Construction in Vermont?

- We realized that we had many bridges that were structurally deficient and even more that were functionally obsolete, and we were falling further behind
- Significant increase in funding allocated to the bridge program
 - 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
- New construction techniques and material availability
- Tropical Storm Irene

Structures Reorganization

- In the post-Irene aftermath, VTrans structures section was reorganized in 2012 to streamline project delivery
 - Project Initiation and Innovation Team (PIIT)
 - Accelerated Bridge Program (ABP)
 - Legislative Buy-In

Project Initiation & Innovation Team (Scoping)

- All bridge projects start here
- Alternatives for project type and magnitude are compared, including costs
- Hard look at maintenance of traffic for detour opportunities
 - Without reasonable detours, accelerated projects much less likely
- Large investment in early public outreach and consensus building
- Some projects are just not suited for closures, i.e. long detours

Accelerated Bridge Program (ABP)

- ABP was created to accelerate the design and replacement of structures, as well as promote innovative solutions
- 24 month goal from project definition to procurement (traditionally 4-5 years)
- Aggressive project schedules
 - Short term road closures (48 hours up to 45 days)
- Promote safety for workers and traveling public
- Reduce impacts to:
 - Environmental Resources, Utilities, Right-of-Way
- Reduce design and construction costs

Incentives for Accelerated Construction

- Vermont Act 153 of 2012 – Incentives to Towns on Town projects to allow bridge/road closure during portions of the work
 - Reduces local share of project
- Bypass mitigation grants
 - Provides grants to Towns on State projects for wear and tear on local roads during State project closures
- Concerted public outreach and information campaign gets the word out to citizens and businesses in advance of closures
- Incentive/Disincentive program for contractors

Rochester, VT: Replacement of 4 Bridges

- Rochester VT73: Bridges 13, 15, 16, and 19
- All four bridges were developed simultaneously for a coordinated replacement during a single construction season
 - Single VTrans Project Manager, single Design Consultant, and single Contractor
 - Intended to minimize disruptions to the traveling public, private properties, commerce, and residents
 - Closures ranged from 56 hours to 2 weeks
- Challenges
 - Substandard widths and restrictive ROW
 - Long detour routes

Rochester, VT



Stowe, VT: Bridge 2 on VT108

- Bridge located in historic downtown village
- Year round tourist destination
 - Bridge closure during Vermont's short "mud season" after ski season but before Memorial Day (6 weeks)
- Incentive/ Disincentives written into contract
- Precast substructure on piles, precast approach slabs, steel girders, and cast-in-place deck
- Weekly meetings with contractor, designers, resident Engineers, town officials, business owners and residents
 - Helped alleviate any potential issues and project schedule on time



Hartford, VT: Interstate 91 Lateral Slide Project

■ Features:

- First CMGC = Construction Manager/General Contractor Project
- Replacing two 3-span bridges on the interstate with two single span bridges
- New superstructures constructed adjacent to existing bridges on temporary supports
- Substructures for new bridges constructed under existing structure while maintaining traffic on bridge
- Lateral slide technology to install new bridges during two, 60 hour closure periods in August and September of 2015



Success of ABC in VT

- Great success with ABC over the past 4 years
 - Have greatly reduced our structurally deficient structures with the help of ABC
- Positive public feedback
 - Short term closures have been preferred to summer long/ phased construction periods
 - Reduced impacts to environment, residents, business owners, and traveling public
- Overall costs reductions
 - ROW acquisition
 - Flaggers and Uniformed Traffic Officers
 - ABC materials and products

Lessons Learned Thus Far

- Poorly signed detours resulting in issues for travelers unfamiliar with area
- New construction techniques
 - Often steep learning curve for engineers, contractors, and inspectors
 - Need to account for additional shop drawing submittals and lifting calculations
- Precast Elements
 - Issues with quality control
 - Limited availability
 - Minimal fabricators and increasing costs

Lessons Learned Thus Far

- Rapid communication on a short-term closure can present problems
 - PM and engineers often unavailable to answer immediate questions and decisions that arise
 - Consider poor cell service in rural project locations
- CIP Concrete/ Grout
 - Need to account for curing times (closure pours, curbs, sidewalks, etc.)
- Guardrail/ approach rail often overlooked
 - Can be hard fit up with precast elements

Future ABC Goals and Objectives

- Continue to minimize project development and construction costs
- Provide better training on new technologies and materials being used in ABC
- Utilize alternative contracting methods
 - Contractor Self Performance
 - Design Build, CM/GC
- Improvement of project plans and details
 - Standardization and consistency from project to project
 - Better written Special Provisions

Future ABC Goals and Objectives

- Require a dry fit-up of precast elements at plant before accepting in field
 - Improve quality control
- Improve upon public outreach
 - Develop website with real time information on performance and construction updates

Questions?

Gary Sweeny, PE

Phone: (802)-828-0049

Email: Gary.Sweeny@vermont.gov

Cory Burrall, PE

Phone: (802)-828-1128

Email: Cory.Burrall@vermont.gov